

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/509,159	05/28/2003	Sean Farmer	19374-501	1649
30623 75	90 03/31/2005		EXAMINER	
MINTZ, LEVI	IN, COHN, FERRIS, GL	AFREMOVA, VERA		
AND POPEO, P.C. ONE FINANCIAL CENTER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON, MA			1651	
			DATE MAIL ED: 03/31/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/509,159	FARMER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Vera Afremova	1651					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status		•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 May 2003</u> .							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	•						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		•					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 10-69</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	·						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 10-69</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau	•	<u> </u>					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	' ''	d.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	(PTO-413) ite					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/509,159

Art Unit: 1651

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-8 and 10-69 are pending and subject to restriction requirement.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-8, 28-33 and 44-48, drawn to a first product or to a composition with bacterial cells of belonging to *Bacillus* species.

Group II, claim(s) 10-13, drawn to a second product or to an extracellular product of cells of belonging to *Bacillus* species.

Group III, claim(s) 14-24, 34-43 and 49-69, drawn to a first method of using first product or to a method of preventing infection by topically applying a composition with bacterial cells of belonging to *Bacillus* species.

Group IV, claim(s) 25-27, drawn to a second method of using a second product or to a method of preventing infection by topically applying an extracellular product of cells of belonging to *Bacillus* species.

The inventions listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Application/Control Number: 09/509,159 Page 3

Art Unit: 1651

This application contains claims drawn to more than one of permissible combinations of categories of inventions such as more than one product and process of use said product (more than one product and process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product).

Furthermore, a "special technical feature" (that defines a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art) is known in the prior art.

For example: a first product or to a composition with bacterial cells of belonging to *Bacillus* species is known and is used for controlling insects or nematodes as taught by US 5,045,314 (col. 1, lines 25-30; col. 2, lines 66-68; col.4, example 1). A second product or to an extracellular product of cells of belonging to *Bacillus* species such as extracellular dextranase is known and is used in dental compositions as taught by US 5,000,939 (col. 1, lines 25-30; col.3, lines 57-60 and col. 4, lines 55-57).

Thus, unity of inventions is lacking. See MPEP 1850. 37 CFR 1.475.

37 CFR 1.475. Unity of invention before the International Searching Authority, the International Preliminary Examining Authority and during the national stage.

- (a) An international and a national stage application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept ("requirement of unity of invention"). Where a group of inventions is claimed in an application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.
- (b) An international or a national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories:
- (1) A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or
- (2) A product and a process of use of said product; or
- (3) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or
- (4) A process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process; or
- (5) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process.
- (c) If an application contains claims to more or less than one of the combinations of categories of invention set forth in paragraph (b) of this section, unity of invention might not be present.
- (d) If multiple products, processes of manufacture or uses are claimed, the first invention of the category first mentioned in the claims of the application and the first recited invention of each of the other categories related thereto will be considered as the main invention in the claims, see PCT Article 17(3)(a) and § 1.476(c).

Application/Control Number: 09/509,159

Art Unit: 1651

(e) The determination whether a group of inventions is so linked as to form a single general inventive concept shall be made without regard to whether the inventions are claimed in separate claims or as alternatives within a single claim.

Page 4

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vera Afremova whose telephone number is (571) 272-0914. The examiner can normally be reached from Monday to Friday from 9.30 am to 6.00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn can be reached at (571) 272-0926. The fax phone number for the TC 1600 where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology center 1600, telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Vera Afremova

AU 1651

March 22, 2005

VERA AFREMOVA

V Afrimore

PRIMARY EXAMINER